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Outline

• Strong pressure from EC to increase interconnection
  – impeded by vertical integration, regulatory delays
• Merchant interconnectors as solution?
  – for HVDC links
  – for transit through e.g. Switzerland
• What are the economics?
• What are the regulatory issues?
Day-ahead price levels 2005

- >51 Euros/MWh
- 41-50 Euros/MWh
- 31-40 Euros/MWh
- < 30 Euros/MWh
- n.a.
Merchant Interconnectors

• Receive arbitrage profits and AS income
• Risk borne by private investors
  – avoids regulatory hold-up?
  – In exchange for more relaxed conditions
• Are private profits aligned with social benefit?
  – Interconnecting two jurisdictions?
  – for transit countries?
• An issue for proper inter-TSO compensation?
Regulation EC 1228/2003 of 26 June 2003 for network access to cross-border exchanges

Article 6 on congestion management:

1. to use market based solutions
3. Maximum capacity to be ‘made available’
4. Unused capacity to be ‘reattributed to the market’
5. TSOs to apply netting ‘as far as technically possible’
6. Revenues to be used for increasing IC capacity or taken into account for network charges
Increasing cross-border capacity

- New investment can be exempted from rTPA
  - if investment enhances competition
  - for maximum of 15 years?
- whether to impose UIOLI up to NRAs?
  ⇒ UIOLI could reduce profitability of IC
  ⇒ This could determine whether built
Percent of time contractual constraints exist in Benelux (Brattle, 2003)
BritNed

“National Grid and NLink - a subsidiary of TenneT, ... are developing a project for an interconnector between Britain and the Netherlands. BritNed would have a capacity of between 600-1300MW, be 250km long, and cost between €300 and €400m” (NGC web site)

“We will be effectively connected to relevant markets, much like an ‘electricity crossroads’. This is beneficial for the market and good for the security of electricity supplies.” (TenneT web site)
Economic analysis

• What is the potential profit?
  – assuming no impact on prices
  – now and in possible futures

• What impact does BritNed have on prices?
  – on the APX and UKPX spot and contract
  – what is the resulting profit?

• What impact does BritNed have on profit of NorNed? On other interconnectors?
Impact on agents of IC

[Graph showing the impact on agents of IC with labeled axes and points.]
Ignoring price impacts

Annual hourly import and export revenue for Britned

Exports shown as negative values
Allowing for 2% losses but no impact on prices

Monthly interconnector revenue
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Monthly interconnector revenue per MW

- total monthly revenue
- export revenue
- import revenue shown negative

Euros/MW/month

### Adjusting APX to average of UKPX prices (1,000 MW)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Additive adjustment</th>
<th>Proportional adjustment</th>
<th>Average original APX price</th>
<th>Average UKPX price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simulating the effect of Dutch prices aligning on German prices

"NL" trade over interconnector

Euros/MW/month

total trade revenue
export revenue
import revenue (shown as negative)
Effect on profits of aligning APX with EEX prices, (1,000 MW) € million/yr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>APX</th>
<th>APX adjusted</th>
<th>EEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do auction prices predict IC value? Averages same

Comparison of auctions and base-load contract differences

![Chart showing comparison of auction and contract differences over time.](chart.png)
Estimating the price impacts

APX price on generation for hour 12 2005
Total BritNed profits (1,000 MW) in €million /year before and after various price impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No impact on prices</th>
<th>Pre-BritNed, Post-Norned</th>
<th>Post-BritNed, Post-Norned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Impact of ICs on APX price levels and variability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-BritNed, Pre-Norned</th>
<th>Pre-BritNed, Post-Norned</th>
<th>Post-BritNed, Post-Norned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>Mean 33</td>
<td>SD 54</td>
<td>Mean 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>€/MWh</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Rough estimate of impact of BritNed on NorNed profits

€ million/year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Revenue from NorNed without BritNed</th>
<th>Revenue from NorNed with BritNed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion on BritNed

• Profitability depends sensitively on
  – magnitude of price impacts
  – operating and capital costs
  – internal network constraints (Randstadt)
  – impacts on NorNed

• Moderately robust to changes in price levels
The Swiss case for merchant interconnectors

- Switzerland is a major transit hub
- and also the southern control centre
  - a key member of ETSO
- but is not part of the EU or EEA
- companies are vertically integrated
- Swiss regulation is evolving
- and merchant transit investment is happening
Limitations of regulatory solutions for interconnectors...

- Vertical integrated utilities fail to invest to avoid competition
- Conflict between jurisdictions if benefits & costs have distributional impacts
- Inter-TSO compensation may not be adequate for new line
  - burden placed on transit country
Vertical integrated companies reluctant to build capacity if it lowers prices
Difficulties for regulated investment - transit

Country A

1 GW line

Country B

Why would B want to pay for the benefit of A and C?

Does TSO compensation suffice?

low price

high price
Inter-TSO payments

• Current TSOs compensation is provisional: PM
• Florence process to choose replacement
  – ETSO prefers With & Without Transits method: WWT
  – IIT proposes Average Participation method: AP
• Choice will impact CH transmission charges
  – the level via effect on total income
  – possibly structure of charges?
  – returns to cross-border transmission
IIT study for 2002 for DG Tren
Payments (Provisional Method) for 2002

Payments by countries in million euros:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>CH</th>
<th>CZ</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>NL</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>SLO</th>
<th>SK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>156.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>103.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>256.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>167.2</td>
<td>106.6</td>
<td>256.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>160.9</td>
<td>104.9</td>
<td>265.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total use of CH’s network =34.6, use by CH =22.4, so net receipt by CH is 12.3 m Euros
Payments under WWT method

CH’s network used 162.5, uses others 105.2, receives 57.2
Payments under AP method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>CH</th>
<th>CZ</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>NL</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>SLO</th>
<th>SK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1228.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>791.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1121.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>463.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>195.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135.4</td>
<td>148.4</td>
<td>155.6</td>
<td>168.7</td>
<td>1308.5</td>
<td>829.6</td>
<td>1195.2</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>511.7</td>
<td>233.4</td>
<td>150.6</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.4</td>
<td>141.8</td>
<td>132.6</td>
<td>183.1</td>
<td>1297.7</td>
<td>823.0</td>
<td>1232.0</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>545.0</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>160.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-36.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-33.3</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>110.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CH’s network used 155.6, uses others 132.6, receives 22.9
Interconnectors and Inter-TSO payments

• The AP method ignores country boundaries
• This could penalise short interconnectors and reward counter-flow transits
• AP seems more compatible with efficient internal T charging
  – and therefore more credible and durable?
• Marginal methods attractive for incremental investments?
  – i.e. long-term contract for new lines
Why allow MTI at all?

- Ideal vision: RTO optimises regional grid, allocates investments to TSOs with suitable compensation
- Optimistic in short-medium run?
- MTI makes transmission investment contestable
  - forces TSO/regulator to set sensible charges and regulatory test
  - may encourage needed investment otherwise resisted by vertically integrated incumbent
- ideally replicates the optimistic solution
  - failing which may be better than status quo
  - provided regulatory test sound
Principles for Merchant Lines

• ensure that any costs imposed on system are correctly charged
  – otherwise other users may bear cost
• ensure that benefits delivered to system are rewarded
  – to encourage MTI where in national interest
  – to encourage designs that maximise Swiss benefit
• ensure that MTI does not pre-empt preferable regulated lines
  – through a carefully designed regulatory test
Network charges (e.g. Peru: Perez-Arriaga, 2006)

- Long-term locational signals are important only for new connections and disconnections
- Peru model: transmission pricing by method A and B
  - A: existing lines and users
  - B: for new lines and users
- A charges: can be based on previous methods
- B charges: deep
  - incremental cost of entrant (also as in PJM)
  - liability/credit to significant disconnections (mainly G)
Recommendations

• ETSO (and Swissgrid) need to agree a future cross-border compensation scheme
  – for existing grid - possibly different for expansions?
  – this will form part of charges/payments for MTI

• Regulator will need to define a regulatory test
  – and conditions under which MTI can become regulated
  – conditions under which charges may change
  – and/or offer long-term contract for charges
Conclusions

- Can be hard to make MTI profitable
  - but they may have other benefits: on competition, security, integration
  - CEC/ETSO assistance to support?

- Put pressure on ETSO to devise good cross-border tariffs
  - and to identify socially profitable interconnectors
Merchant Interconnectors
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