The impact of carbon emissions trading on industries

Robert A. Ritz

Cambridge University

**Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research
CREW Symposium**

23 March 2015

Political economy of market-based climate policy

Economic instruments are often superior to command-and-control policies

- Carbon tax or emissions trading scheme (ETS)

Additional burden on industry can be a disadvantage

- How large is the profit impact?
- How can adverse impacts be alleviated?
  - Role of free emissions permits vs auctions

Political economy of market-based instruments is key to their success

Overview of modelling approach & results

Imperfect competition in product markets
- Generalized version of Cournot-Nash competition
  - Aluminium, aviation, cement, electricity, steel

Price-taking behaviour in carbon markets
- Individual sector within economy-wide trading scheme (e.g., EU ETS)

Key insight
- Under reasonable conditions, adverse profit impact of carbon pricing on industry is "modest"
  - ✓ Industry can be compensated **and** substantial government revenue raised from permit auctions

Profit-neutral permit allocations (PNA)

Definition of profit-neutrality at the industry-level

$$\Pi^*(T) + T\gamma(T)\zeta^*(0) = \Pi^*(0).$$

- Emissions price, T
- Industry profits, π
- Industry emissions, ζ
- Industry PNA, γ

Profit-neutral allocation based on initial (T=0) emissions
- e.g., grandfathering based on historical emissions

Industry profit impact is determined by its PNA

Core elements of the model setup

Generalized version of Cournot competition
- Industry conduct parameter $\theta \geq 0$

  e.g., Cournot-Nash ($\theta=1$), perfect competition ($\theta=0$)

Firms' production & emissions costs
- Emissions price t lies on interval $[0, T]$
- Marginal cost function $MC_i(q_i, t)$ is linear in output
- Firm chooses its emissions intensity z_i optimally
  - Cuts emissions intensity as t rises

Key feature
Emissions price raises MC_i by *optimal* emissions intensity

$$\frac{d}{dt} MC_i(q_i, t) = z_i(t)$$

Two sufficient conditions for the main results

A1. Industry demand curve is log-concave

=> Existence, uniqueness & stability of Cournot equilibrium

=> Rate of cost pass-through ≤ 100%

A2. Covariance (marginal costs, emissions intensities) ≥ 0

"Eco-efficiency"

- Firms which use fewer other inputs also produce less emissions (per unit of output)

Impact of carbon pricing on industry

Conditions A1 & A2 lead to "desirable" outcomes

① Product prices rise & industry output falls

② Market share shifts from high-cost to low-cost firms

③ Herfindahl index of concentration rises

④ Average emissions intensity of production falls

⑤ Industry-level emissions decline

NB. There are counterexamples to *all* of these outcomes!

Simple formulae for industry-level PNA

PNA is estimated via observable industry characteristics

Proposition 8. *Suppose ζ^* is decreasing in t. Then $\gamma(T) \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \tilde{\gamma}(t)$, where*

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \frac{(2\theta + \bar{m})}{(\theta + \bar{m})} - \frac{[(\theta + \bar{m}) + \theta(1 - \theta HE)]}{(\theta + \bar{m})(N + \theta(1 - E) + \bar{m})} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i z_i}. \qquad (43)$$

- In some cases, PNA turns negative – or is above 100%

Key result: Under reasonable conditions, PNA is "low"

$$\gamma(T) \leq \theta H(T).$$

Illustration: UK cement industry in EU ETS

Cement PNA often ≤ 28% (HHI), almost always < 50%
- Large majority of emissions permits can be auctioned whilst preserving UK cement industry profits

Table 2
Upper bounds on PNA in terms of correlation (ρ) and variation (v) of emissions intensities.

Variation v	Correlation ρ				
	-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.0
0.00 (uniform intensities)	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28
0.05	0.18	0.23	0.28	0.33	0.37
0.10	0.06	0.18	0.28	0.37	0.45
0.15 (maximal variation)	-0.06	0.12	0.28	0.41	0.53

Some further issues for research

- Beyond homogenous-product Cournot competition

- Endogenous market structure & dynamics (entry & exit)

- International competition & carbon leakage

- *Good* empirical evidence on industry profit impacts

References

Thank you for listening!

Comments welcome:
rar36@cam.ac.uk

References

- Hepburn, Cameron J., John K.-H. Quah, & Robert A. Ritz (2013). "Emissions trading with profit-neutral permit allocations". *Journal of Public Economics* 98, pp. 85–99.

- Ritz, Robert A. (2014). "A new version of Edgeworth's taxation paradox". *Oxford Economic Papers* 66, pp. 209–226.

- Ritz, Robert A. (2015), "Market-based emissions pricing, cost pass-through and firm profits", in preparation.