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“Brexit” and the EU ETS

Following formal withdrawal from the EU, the UK will have alternative options regarding participation in the EU ETS.

Each has distinct implications.

1. General implications of “Brexit”
2. UK decides to leave the EU ETS
3. UK remains a participant in the EU ETS
General Implications of “Brexit”

Regardless of whether the UK remains or leaves the EU ETS, “Brexit” already has implications:

• UK has ~750 emitters and 10.5% of emissions
• Has been a net importer of allowances, and would import another ~100 mio. EUAs by 2020
• EUAs fell 20% on referendum, currently price in some uncertainty about future UK participation
• Short-termism will rise; hedging positions (up to ~150 million EUAs) will likely be unwound
• Political balance in Phase 4 negotiations shifts
UK withdrawal would necessitate adjustment of the EU-wide cap and recalibration of efforts:

- Permanent withdrawal would further dampen EUA price, although MSR may mute effect
- Given robust GHG mitigation record of the UK, retaining the aggregate %-target would shift mitigation burden to remaining Member States
- Timing would ideally coincide with end of 3rd TP …
- Specific implications for some areas (e.g. aviation)
- What might domestic fallback policies be?
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Issues that would require adjustments or management:

• Managing compliance deadlines within the EU ETS

• Adjusting EUA quantities and allocation

• Managing EUA auctions, including implications for backloading and deferred EUAs

• Implementation of MSR by 2019

• Unwinding of hedging positions by UK entities

• Banking of EUAs into the next EU ETS phase

• Status and continued use of Union Registry by UK entities
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- Permanent withdrawal would further dampen EUA price, although MSR may mute effect.
- Given robust GHG mitigation record of the UK, retaining the aggregate %-target would shift mitigation burden to remaining Member States.
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- What might domestic fallback policies be?
UK influence on the adoption and design of the EU ETS and strong domestic mitigation targets favor continued participation in the EU ETS:

- Significant implementation capacity located in London (Europe’s “carbon trading capital”)
- Legal effect of EU law ceases for the UK with withdrawal, necessitating new transposing rules
- Details will be part of overall package; might some features (e.g., CPS) have to be abandoned?
- Model: EFTA, EEA, or “Continental Partnership”? 